



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,974	02/08/2002	Lhing-Yew Li		3799

45453	7590	01/23/2007
BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC (ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY) 301 GRANT STREET, 20TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15219		

EXAMINER	
STEADMAN, DAVID J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1656	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/067,974

Applicant(s)

LI ET AL.

Examiner

David J. Steadman

Art Unit

1656

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. ☒ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 01 November 2006. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).


4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☒ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: 44.
Claim(s) objected to: 34-36.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 15-23, 26-33, 37-39 and 43.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 24 and 40-42.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.
13. ☐ Other: _____.


David J. Steadman, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1656

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the claim amendment filed on 2/16/06, claims 34-36 were ultimately dependent upon claim 1 and it was clear as to the nucleic acid that was present in the claimed host cells, i.e., the host cells of claims 34-36 were required to be transformed with a vector comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1. Instant claims 34-36 have been amended to be independent claims and - as noted in the Advisory Action mailed on 9/21/06 - upon inspection of the specification (p. 24, paragraph [0089]) it is noted that other than the host cells having deposit numbers NRRL-B30410, NRRL-B30458, NRRL-B30459, and NRRL-B30522 (specification at p. 31, paragraph [0107], p. 32, paragraph [0109], p. 33, paragraph [0111], p. 35, paragraph [0113], pp. 35-36, paragraph [0114]), it is unclear as to the characteristic(s) of the recited host cells, particularly with respect to the nucleic acid - if any - that is used to transform the recited host cells. As such, the claims as presented in the amendment filed on 12/14/06 require further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration in the reply filed on 12/14/06 has been considered, however, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. While the amendment would appear to overcome the outstanding claim objection and rejections as set forth in the Office action mailed on 5/1/06, the amendment to the claims filed on 12/14/06 has not been entered because the claims as amended raise new issues requiring further consideration as noted above. See MPEP § 714.13. Applicant's arguments in the amendment filed on 12/14/06 have been fully considered. However, in view of the non-entry of the amendment, applicant's arguments are not found persuasive to overcome the outstanding rejection(s) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 5/1/06 for the reasons of record stated therein.